

Form: DA Submission
Reference No: 5c5a7788cb609
Received: 06/02/2019 04:58:32 PM

Development Application: 011.2018.00054602.001

Applicant: ADG Architects

Description: Residential Flat Building 262 Units in 3 Stages. Stage 1 Site Preparation & Earthworks. Stage 2 Residential Flat Building (Block A, B & C) and Basement Car Parking Spaces. Stage 3 Residential Flat Building (Blocks D & E) and Basement Car Parking Spaces JRPP

Comments: Council's records will show that I made a submission on this DA on 13/07/2018 reference number 5b4809cb968cd. I strongly reiterate those comments herein and, in addition, I make the following additional comments as a consequence of the amended plans lodged on 14/01/2019 and in respect of which I received notification from Council by letter dated 17 January 2019. As mentioned above, the objections I made in my earlier submission are unchanged in substance but I take this opportunity to make further comments as follows: **TRAFFIC & PARKING ON JWD**. As stated previously, it is obvious to me that the author of the Traffic and Parking Impact Assessment Report lodged on 14/01/2019 has ignored comments made in my earlier submission and has instead repeated, from the first report "Taking into account the estimated traffic generation from the proposed development, existing traffic flow conditions and speed environment no significant traffic impact was found at any of the nearby intersections due to the development traffic." and further "The Traffic and Parking Impact Assessment concludes that the subject site is suitable for the redevelopment of the site in relation to the impact of traffic, vehicle access, parking and safety considerations. The development is considered to have negligible effect on the safety and operating outcome of the surrounding transport network." As previously stated, the author has not spent much or indeed any significant time examining the area by real time on site walking. I can only conclude that he/she relied on a computer screen and not on a real time inspection. Similar conclusions seem also to have been reached by the writers of other submissions against this development. **POTENTIAL DAMAGE DURING EXCAVATION**. I cannot reiterate my problems as previously expressed too much. I repeat them as if set out again very strongly. I also notice that other submissions have raised similar objections. May I add to my previous comments by suggesting that if approval is in fact given to this DA, and I truly hope it is not, that a condition is imposed on the developer requiring that a substantial deposit amount be provided as insurance against any damage.