

waste bins on the street. My length calculation for 1x140L (53cm) & 1x240L (58cm) with a 10cm separation gap for lifting by the waste truck would equal a distance of approximately 28.82 metres to place on the street frontage for the RECYCLE week collection. The GREEN week collection day would equal 18.62 metres of waste bins placed on the street frontage for collection. Obviously, this is far too excessive & would have to be placed on Melbourne Street for collection. As the development is located on a corner block, the bins would have to be placed at the lower end of Melbourne Street (36 Melbourne) due to the intersection being a roundabout with 4 pedestrian refuge islands placed in both Melbourne & Adelaide Streets entry/exits.

7. Tree Preservation - The preservation of some of the existing deciduous trees on the footpath should be granted as these trees of the same species were part of the early landscaping of Melbourne Street. To remove these trees would lessen the impact of a green environment during spring, summer & autumn. The trees provide shade for the footpath & the elderly who use this path for exercise & to walk their companion dogs.
8. Construction Traffic - Approval of this large development would require the use of heavy vehicles (trucks & excavators) in the surrounding areas. This would cause damage to roads & noise pollution for St. Patricks (Melbourne St) & East Gosford (Webb St) Primary schools as this would be the route that these trucks would use to access the Highway. The raised pedestrian crossing located in front of St. Patricks Primary School in Melbourne Street would cause braking noise during school hours which would disturb the children's classroom activities.
9. The DA application submitted does state that parts of the Development are not compliant with Council or other Regulatory bodies but wish the Council to approve these minor changes. Some of the wording used in their DA application are – “partly complies”, “negligible”, “while some setbacks are reduced”, “with some minor variations”, “is less than”, “apart from one wall”, “is generally consistent” etc. etc. It is concerning that this DA is seeking approval for non-compliance of building codes on various parts of the project.
10. Our other concern is if this DA is approved and the development is built with 22 dwellings what will the future be for us in the surrounding area? Will the building management be active in the management of this property? I have witnessed these 15+ multi dwelling projects like this in other areas of the Central Coast where properties consisting of a large number of small compact units results in high levels of rubbish accumulating on footpaths (furniture etc.), waste bins not removed from the footpath, poor maintenance of the property & not to mention the human element amongst this. Disturbances created by human behaviour where disagreements can occur due to close living, excess noise pollution, parking & storage issues, non-compliance of Strata By-Law etc. no respect for other residents.

Thank you for the opportunity to respond to the DA & wish that this development be rejected by Council.

